

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/104771/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Mullish, Benjamin H., McDonald, Julie A. K., Thursz, Mark R. and Marchesi, Julian R. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7994-5239> 2017. Fecal microbiota transplant from a rational stool donor improves hepatic encephalopathy: a randomized clinical trial. *Hepatology* 66 (4) , pp. 1354-1355. 10.1002/hep.29369 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.29369>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.29369>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Correspondence: Fecal Microbiota Transplant from a Rational Stool Donor Improves Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Benjamin H Mullish¹, b.mullish@imperial.ac.uk

Julie A K McDonald¹, julie.mcdonald@imperial.ac.uk

Mark R Thursz¹, m.thursz@imperial.ac.uk

Julian R Marchesi^{1,2}, j.marchesi@imperial.ac.uk

¹Liver Unit/ Division of Digestive Diseases, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London

¹School of Biosciences, Cardiff University

Keywords: microbiome; metabonome; cirrhosis; antibiotics

To the Editor:

The study from Bajaj *et al* – evaluating the safety and efficacy of fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) as treatment for hepatic encephalopathy (HE)¹ – raises several questions.

A first issue concerns the omission of specific detail regarding the medical therapy being used to treat HE in participants at recruitment, and the implication that lactulose/ rifaximin was restarted after FMT in the treatment arm. If there was greater use of medical therapy for HE in the FMT arm compared to standard-of-care, this difference may be an alternative explanation for the apparent reduced HE burden in these patients. Furthermore, recommencement of rifaximin shortly after FMT would surely be inappropriate, as the broad-spectrum nature of this non-absorbable antibiotic^{2,3} would intuitively minimise the chance of successful colonisation of recipients with bacterial communities present within the FMT.

Another issue regards appropriate donor selection. The authors describe using machine learning to select a donor with high stool relative abundance of *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae*, given that these microbial families are found at reduced relative abundance in the stool of patients with HE⁴. However, the data presented demonstrate that relative abundance of these two families in FMT-treated patients appear no different than baseline levels by day 15 post-FMT (remaining throughout at levels much lower than that of the donor), and Shannon diversity index was also statistically unchanged from baseline at this timepoint. Given the timescale of these microbial changes, it seems unfeasible that the apparent improvement in PHES testing/ EncephalApp-Stroop and reduced HE events (all outcomes measured at day 15 post-FMT or later) was attributable to *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae* members derived from the FMT. Recent data demonstrate that sterile stool filtrates may have similar efficacy in treating recurrent *Clostridium difficile* infection as conventional FMT⁵, consistent with bacterially-derived proteins, gut metabolites, bacteriophages or other filtrate components being the mediators of FMT's efficacy in treating this condition, as opposed to intact microorganisms. By extrapolation, for future studies in this area, a more rational means of donor selection may be based around not purely matching the structure of the gut microbiota, but to select donors with the gut microbiota functionality missing in HE.

References:

1. Bajaj JS, Kassam Z, Fagan A, et al. Fecal Microbiota Transplant from a Rational Stool Donor Improves Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Hepatology*. June 2017. doi:10.1002/hep.29306.

2. Marchese A, Salerno A, Pesce A, Debbia EA, Schito GC. In vitro activity of rifaximin, metronidazole and vancomycin against *Clostridium difficile* and the rate of selection of spontaneously resistant mutants against representative anaerobic and aerobic bacteria, including ammonia-producing species. *Chemotherapy*. 2000;46(4):253-266.
3. Cobbold JFL, Atkinson S, Marchesi JR, et al. Rifaximin in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: An open-label pilot study. *Hepatol Res*. May 2017. doi:10.1111/hepr.12904.
4. Bajaj JS, Heuman DM, Hylemon PB, et al. Altered profile of human gut microbiome is associated with cirrhosis and its complications. *J Hepatol*. 2014;60(5):940-947.
5. Ott SJ, Waetzig GH, Rehman A, et al. Efficacy of Sterile Fecal Filtrate Transfer for Treating Patients With *Clostridium difficile* Infection. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;152(4):799-811.

Corresponding author: Dr Benjamin Mullish, Liver Unit/ Division of Digestive Diseases, 10th Floor QEQM Wing, St Mary's Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, South Wharf Road, Paddington, London, UK. Tel: +44(0)2033126454; b.mullish@imperial.ac.uk .

List of abbreviations:

FMT fecal microbiota transplantation

HE hepatic encephalopathy

Financial support: The Division receives financial support from the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust and Imperial College London.